President’s Message

I hope this newsletter finds Architects across North Carolina busily engaged in protecting the Health, Safety, and Welfare of the public, while also providing those timeless Vitruvian elements of Firmness, Commodity, and Delight. We must define, quantify, and qualify our work through the former terms, but it is the latter triad that brings poetry to our efforts.

As this newsletter reflects, your Board has been busy in and out of North Carolina. First, we welcomed a new member from Charlotte, Tom Turner, FAIA, to the Board last fall. Turner replaces retiring member Dan Knight, AIA, and brings a wealth of experience to his board service. In welcoming Turner, we thanked Knight for ten years of work locally and nationally. His tenure and presidency of the NC Board inaugurated rules changes, published our Seal brochure, and began negotiations for our lease renewal. He served on several national NCARB committees and most recently chaired NCARB’s IDP program.

This summer, former NC Board member Jeff Huberman, currently NCARB’s Second Vice President, will seek the office of NCARB’s First V.P./President-Elect. This will give North Carolina interesting national exposure as Huberman and NCSU’s Dean Marvin Malecha, FAIA, assume presidency of NCARB and AIA respectively.

Current board members have also been active. Kevin Montgomery, FAIA, was elected Chairman of the Southern Conference of NCARB (Region 3). Cheryl Walker chaired NCARB’s task force on sustainable architecture and our Board Exec Cathe Evans was a member; Barbara Field, FAIA, served on a NAAB accreditation committee; and I chaired the new Site Planning & Design section of the ARE. These national committees give Board members insight and input into NCARB policy.

Public members Kiki Teague and Nick Garrett continue to keep us mindful of our responsibilities to the public, and Nick has been instrumental in looking towards a long-term strategy for board office space needs.

Most importantly, we welcomed many newly licensed architects at our annual New Architects Luncheon in October. I have just evaluated three sections of the new Architect Registration Exam version 4.0, and am keenly aware of the breadth of knowledge these young architects must bring to their practice. Their world will be controlled by issues of sustainability, collaboration, and integrated delivery systems – in addition to the current knowledge required of practicing professionals.

On behalf of the North Carolina Board of Architecture, I thank you for your diligence in your duties to protect the public through Architecture, and challenge each of you: share your knowledge, mentor a younger professional, and enrich the world of public service they will inherit.

Sincerely,
Charles H. Boney, Jr. AIA
President
NC Board of Architecture

Up-Coming Board Meeting
All Board meetings are open to the public. Contact Julie Piatek (juliap@ncbarch.org) for further information.
April 11, 2008 Board office in Raleigh, NC 9:00 a.m.
May 9, 2008 Location in Wilmington to be determined.
June 25-28, 2008 NCARB Annual Meeting, Pittsburgh, PA
July 11, 2008 Board office in Raleigh, NC 9:00 a.m.
The Honorable
Governor Michael
Easley has appointed
Thomas P. Turner,
Jr., FAIA, of
Charlotte to the North
Carolina Board of
Architecture. Mr. Turner will serve
until April 1, 2012. A graduate of
Clemson, Mr. Turner has been a
principle with ADEP, PA since 1977
and was granted his initial license in
North Carolina in 1961. In addition
to his service with the Board of
Architecture, Tom is a long time
member of the NC Building Code
Council. Board President, Charles
H. Boney, Jr., has assigned Thomas
to the Applications Committee.
Please join us in welcoming him to
the Board.

Notice of renewal for individual
licenses for the 2008-2009 year will
be sent out in mid-May. If your
preference is to receive
correspondence from the Board is
via email please be sure your email
address on file with the Board is
current. Be on the look out for this
e-mail to arrive by May 18, 2008.
We have found that many architects
have supplied an email address for
an administrative assistant or
secretary in place of their own.
Please be sure your staff knows to
pass the email on to you. As a
licensed professional you should not
delegate renewal responsibility to
someone else. Ultimately, you are
responsible for ensuring that your
licensed is renewed in an accurate
and timely manner. Finally, you are
strongly encouraged to utilize the on
line renewal feature. It is the fastest
and most efficient way to renew your
license.

We have noticed an increase in
firms offering and rendering services
prior to starting (and completing) the
firm licensure process. If you are an
individual architect offering and
rendering services through a
corporation (or limited liability
company) your attention is directed
to G.S. 83A-8 and G.S. 83A-12. The
rules and laws are found at
www.ncbarch.org

North Carolina Architect
Jeffrey A. Huberman,
FAIA, Elected Second Vice
President of
National Architect Council

Washington, DC—Jeffrey A.
Huberman, FAIA, of Charlotte, NC,
recently was elected second vice
president of the National Council of
Architectural Registration Boards
(NCARB) at its 88th Annual Meet-
ing and Conference in Denver, CO.
Huberman served as regional direc-
tor of the Council’s Southern Con-
ference (Region 3) that comprises
Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Geor-
gia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North
Carolina, Puerto Rico, South Caro-
lina, Tennessee, Texas, and the Vir-
gin Islands from 2005.
In 1971, Huberman and fellow ar-
chitect Harvey B. Gantt, FAIA,
-founded Charlotte-based Gantt
Huberman Architects, a partnership
that continues today. The award-
winning firm has a staff of 40.
Huberman has been chosen by vari-
ous NCARB presidents to serve on
a number of Council committees.
He has chaired some of NCARB’s
most integral groups, including the
Reciprocity Impediments Task
Force, the Committee on Proce-
dures and Documents, and the
Committee on the Intern Develop-
ment Program. He also has served
as a writer and grader for the Archi-
tect Registration Examination®, the
nine-division test developed by
NCARB and taken by all architects
in the United States and Canada
seeking registration.
In 1994, Huberman was advanced
to the American Institute of Archi-
tects’ College of Fellows—the
highest honor the AIA bestows on
its architect members—in recogni-
tion of his longstanding profes-
sional contributions. He earned a
bachelor of architecture degree
from the University of Florida in
1964. Huberman holds the NCARB
Certificate for national reciprocity
and is registered to practice in
North Carolina, South Carolina, and
Tennessee.
Huberman served for over ten years
on the North Carolina Board of Ar-
chitecture, where he has been
elected secretary, treasurer, vice-
president, and president. He has
also served in a number of leader-
ship roles for the AIA on both the
state and national levels. Among his
many honors, Huberman received the
2002 F. Carter Williams Gold
Medal, the most distinguished
award AIA North Carolina accords
its membership.
Beyond his professional responsi-
bilities, Huberman is a highly re-
garded painter. He has participated
in numerous individual and group
exhibitions throughout his career,
including shows at the NC Museum
of Art, the Asheville Art Museum,
and the Fayetteville Museum of Art.
His work is part of the public col-
clections at the Asheville Art Mu-
seum, Bucknell University, and
Bank of America.
Among his community-related
work, Huberman currently serves
on the Board of Advisors for Opera
Carolina and is a former board
member of the Green Hill Center
for North Carolina Art, Opera Caro-
lina, Children’s Theater, and the
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Arts and
Science Council. He also has been
honored for his service to the City
of Charlotte and Mecklenburg
County.
ARE 3.1 to 4.0 news

The Architect Registration Examination (ARE) is continuing to change for the better. In July 2008, NCARB will launch ARE 4.0. This latest version of the exam updates and improves the current format by combining graphic and multiple-choice content. ARE 4.0 integrates the exam format while emphasizing the problem-solving skills architects regularly use in day-to-day practice.

One of NCARB’s missions is to safeguard the health, safety, and welfare of the public. The ARE is one way in which NCARB fulfills this mission. The evolution of the ARE responds to the needs of the profession and the public-at-large. The ARE will continue to evolve with the profession in order to maintain the protection of the public health, safety, and welfare.

ARE 4.0 basics:
- ARE 4.0 will launch in July 2008.
- There will be a one year transition period between July 2008 and June 2009 for candidates currently testing to complete ARE 3.1.
- Candidates who do not pass all of ARE 3.1 by the end of June 2009 will be transitioned to ARE 4.0. Depending on their specific progress, a candidate may have to repeat content already passed under ARE 3.1. Candidates should refer to the NCARB web site’s “transition candidate” page in the ARE 4.0 section for a chart explaining what divisions candidates will need to take if they do not complete the corresponding division in ARE 3.1.
- ARE 4.0 will integrate multiple-choice questions with graphic vignettes, but the overall exam content will remain the same.
- ARE 4.0 will have seven divisions instead of nine.
- ARE 4.0 will also introduce enhancements to the Site Grading and Mechanical & Electrical Plan vignettes.

This evolution of the ARE has been guided by the 2001 Practice Analysis survey conducted by NCARB that provided a comprehensive analysis of the architecture profession.

Benefits of the new exam for candidates:
- Seven divisions instead of nine
- Reduced number of trips to the test center
- Integration of multiple choice and graphic content
- Condensed subject matter—study for a subject all at once
- Slightly less testing time
- Better assessment of your knowledge, skills, and abilities

The seven divisions of ARE 4.0 are as follows:
- Programming, Planning, & Practice (85 multiple choice + 1 vignette)
- Site Planning & Design (65 multiple choice + 2 vignettes)
- Building Design & Construction Systems (85 multiple choice + 3 vignettes)
- Schematic Design (2 vignettes)
- Structural Systems (125 multiple choice + 1 vignette)
- Building Systems (95 multiple choice + 1 vignette)
- Construction Documents & Services (100 multiple choice + 1 vignette)

NCARB has launched an interactive section of the web site to help explain the new exam structure and ease the transition for candidates. Two charts on its web site explain how ARE 3.1 will transition to ARE 4.0. For example, the vignettes currently administered in ARE 3.1’s Building Technology division have been incorporated into four ARE 4.0 divisions and the vignettes in ARE 3.1 Site Planning have been transitioned into two divisions. Candidates should also note that ARE 3.1’s General Structures and Lateral Forces divisions will combine with the Structural Layout vignette from Building Technology to form one division in ARE 4.0. Candidates currently testing should use the next year and the extra transition year – a total of more than two years – to prepare and complete all remaining divisions of ARE 3.1.

The information currently posted on the Council’s web site is just the beginning. The section will continue to be updated over the next two years to address candidate concerns and to better explain the changes ahead. Candidates are encouraged to use these resources to prepare for the transition to ARE 4.0. With proper planning, the transition to ARE 4.0 can be smooth for everyone.

Congratulations to New Licensees by Exam!

The following individuals have successfully completed the Architectural Registration Exam and were licensed between January 1, 2007 and March 6, 2008.

Scott Walton Bartholomew
Belinda Lee Borelli
Kimberly D. Buff
Robert D. Caddell
Robert Jonathan Carmac
Martha V. Carnevale
Lynn H. Carney
Brian Scott Carroll
Jon M. Chaufly
Eric Coulson
Brian Dautel
David Leo Delcambre
Douglas Reid Dorney Jr.
Thomas C. Duzan
Michael A. Everson
James Lawrence Faulkner
Heather Tarrents Faulkner
Joseph J. Fitzsimons
Keith J Frankel
Jason S. Gable
Elizabeth A. Gallagher
Jonathan A. Gerstmyer
Elizabeth Z. Hale
Kristina Held
Susan Black Holt
Timothy Kurmaskie
Min Hyuk Lee
Roderic Schuyler Leland III
Michael Gary Lippard
Joleen Alison Lockhart
Thomas C. Loter
Michael R. Lovaglio, Jr.
Kevin Alan McDade
Jonathan L. Medlin
Catherine M.T. Monroe
Jennifer M. Olson
Christopher R. Peters
Laura D. Pinheiro
Jesse Bynum Plaster
Christopher Price
J.P. Reuer
Jorge Luis Rodriguez Sam
Julie G. Schoenagel
Jeffrey W. Sowers
Erin E. Sterling
Joshua David Stewart
Matthew J. Takacs
Nilgun B. Trogdon
Terry David Ben Wilson
Reid DeJarnette Wood
Jonathan A. Wright

Please join the Board of Architecture in congratulating these individuals.
Following is the Enforcement Report for the period October 24, 2007 through February 15, 2008. The Board is not bound by precedence in matters of disciplinary action. It is the prerogative of the Board to be conservative in its review of cases and to enforce the rules and laws with sanctions and civil penalties as allowed by law. You may request a copy of the entire order by sending an email to cathe@ncbarch.org be sure to include the case number with your request.

**Letters of Warning**
The following individuals received Letters of Warning for the unauthorized use of the title architect or any form thereof without being duly licensed:
Case 758 Timothy Bullins
Case 743 Chris Lindle
Case 752 Robert Maurer
Case 734 Ted Mahaffey

**Letters of Caution**
The Board closed one case with a letter of caution.

**Dismissed/Unfounded**
The Board of architecture dismissed five cases as unfounded.

**Consent Orders**

**Case 733 - Wayne H. Camas**
Respondent was formerly licensed as an architect by this Board and has submitted an application to renew his license. He is subject to Chapter 83A of the General Statutes of North Carolina and Title 21, Chapter 2 of the North Carolina Administrative Code.
Respondent’s license registration 3413 expired on June 30, 2007 for failure to renew for 2007-2008. Respondent continued to offer and render architectural services in the state of North Carolina.
Respondent is subject to the provisions of G.S. 83A-11. The Board may require, at its discretion, proof of continuing competency or treat the application as new, subject to reexamination and qualification requirements. On July 3, 2007, Respondent placed his seal, signature and the date on a project in the state of North Carolina. Respondent wishes to resolve this matter by Consent and agrees that the Board staff and counsel may discuss this Order with the Board ex parte whether or not the Board accepts this Order as written.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**
The Board has jurisdiction over this matter and over Respondent and Respondent is therefore subject to North Carolina General Statute 83A and Title 21 Chapter 2 of the North Carolina Administrative Code. The Conduct described in Paragraphs 2-5 constitutes violations of N.C.G.S. 83A-11, 83A-12, 83A-15(a) (3) and 21 NCAC 02.0209(10) and 21 NCAC 02.0213.
BASERED on the foregoing, the Board and respondent agree to the following:
Respondent’s license to practice architecture shall be renewed for 2007-2008.
Respondent shall remain on probation for a period of three years from the date of this Order. Failure to comply with all Board of Architecture rules and laws governing the practice of architecture, including timely renewal of license registration shall be considered a violation of this Order and may result in permanent revocation of licensure.
Respondent shall pay a civil penalty in the amount of $500.00
Respondent shall submit proof of continuing education for the calendar year 2006 as set forth in 21 NCAC 02.0900. Respondent firm license registration for Camas Associates Architects, PA shall be reinstated.
Respondent shall reimburse the Board of Architecture for agreed upon administrative costs.

**Case 759**
**Elizabeth Joyner-Spare**
**Designsenses Architecture, PA**
Respondent Joyner-Spare is licensed as an architect by this Board and is subject to Chapter 83A of the General Statutes of North Carolina and Title 21, Chapter 2 of the North Carolina Administrative Code.
Respondent Joyner-Spare failed to renew her individual license registration to practice architecture by June 30, 2007 for the 2007-2008 license year. It expired on July 1, 2007. Subsequently, the individual license registration was renewed on October 23, 2007.
As a result of her failure to renew the individual license registration by June 30, 2007 the firm license registration for Designsenses Architecture, PA was revoked for failure to comply with Board rule 21 NCAC 02.0214 and provisions of G.S. 83A-8 and G.S. 55B. Respondent was notified of this revocation on August 9, 2007 via U.S. Mail.
During the period July 1, 2007 through October 22, 2007 Respondent Joyner-Spare offered and rendered architectural services without proper license to do so through the firm Designsenses Architecture, PC on the project Hartman/Decker Residence. Joyner-Spare intended, but did not; dissolve Designsenses Architecture, PC at the end of the 2007 calendar year.
Respondent’s action in offering and rendering architectural services through a firm not properly licensed with this Board is a violation of G.S. 83A-12 and 21 NCAC. 2.0215. Respondent’s action in offering and rendering architectural services without proper license to do so through the firm Designsenses Architecture, PC at the end of the 2007 calendar year. Respondent’s action in offering and rendering architectural services through a firm not properly licensed with this Board is a violation of G.S. 83A-11, G.S. 83A-12 and 21 NCAC 02.0201, 21 NCAC 02.0203. CONTINUED
Respondent wishes to resolve this matter by Consent and agrees that the Board staff and counsel may discuss this Order with the Board ex parte whether or not the Board accepts this Order as written. **CONTINUED on pg 5**
Based on the foregoing and in lieu of further proceedings under 21 NCAC. Chapter 2, Section .0600, the Board and respondent agree to the following:
Respondent take all necessary steps to dissolve the Designsenses Architecture, PA and come in to compliance with all architectural laws and rules governing the practice architecture.
Respondent shall pay a civil penalty in the amount of $1,000.
Respondent shall pay previously agreed upon administrative costs associated with this matter.
Respondent shall be placed on probation for a period of two years from the date of this order. Failure to renew the firm license registration or her individual license registration by the due date shall be considered a violation of this Order.

The following individuals or firms offered and rendered architectural services through entities not licensed to practice architecture in the State of North Carolina. As a result, they entered into Consent Orders with the Board of Architecture. The Consent Order issued to the firm is standard disciplinary action for firms and individuals who fail to obtain proper licensure prior to offering and rendering services. The dollar amount indicated is the total paid to the Board including Civil Penalties and administrative costs. Civil Penalties are not retained by the Board but are forwarded to the State Treasurer for disbursement to the school board for the county in which the violation took place.

Case 746 Daniel Harrigan - Spillman, Farmer, Shoemaker, Pell, Whildin, PC $10,900
Case 724 Robert Hornacek – Collwell Ray Hornacek Okinaka, Arch. Inc. $4,750
Case 729 Eric Powers – The Innovations Group, PLLC $8,500
Case 735 Richard Ivy – Ivy Architectural Innovations, PC $600
Case 736 Doug Fisher – Fisher Architectural, Inc. $2,100
Case 738 Michael Columbo – John T. Staub & Assoc. PC $600
Case 739 F.A. Goodman – F.A. Goodman Architects, Inc. $600
Case 740 Tilsley & Associates, PLLC $2,100
Case 741 The Stephen B. Jacobs Group, PC $600
Case 742 TKS Architects, Inc. $600
Case 744 Collman & Karsky Architects, Inc. $4,150
Case 723 Conley Group, Inc. $1,700
Case 745 Constance Spencer – Spencer Architect, LLC $7,300
Case 766 Ronald O. Sholar Architecture, PC $1,150
Case 764 Leon Lawson – Studio 7 Architects, PLLC $1,150
Case 762 Jeff Jordan – Forrest Daniell & Assoc. PC $1,150
Case 760 Guy Teschmacher – Black River Design Arch. PLLC $1,150
Case 748 Robert F. McAlpine – McAlpine Tankersly Arch. Inc. $11,150
Case 750 R. Stanley Ladrick – RSL Commercial Arch. Inc. $18,150
Case 761 Scott Smith – Engberg Anderson Design Partnership, Inc. $1,150
ARE/IDP Timing

ARE® Timing Hot Topic at NCARB’s 88th Annual Meeting and Conference

Denver, CO—The hot topic at the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards’ Annual Meeting and Conference was ARE® Timing. The issue was thoroughly debated, discussed, and examined. Reciprocity, states’ rights, and IDP were the key words heard throughout the debate. In the end, Member Boards passed Resolution 07-8 as amended.

Member Boards considered two resolutions related to the sequencing of the Intern Development Program (IDP) and the Architect Registration Examination® (ARE®). Both allowed individual jurisdictions to determine when a candidate is eligible to begin taking the exam, however one, Resolution 07-8, would have affected when a candidate would be allowed to finish the examination. Resolution 07-8 stated a candidate may begin the exam when he or she has fulfilled their jurisdiction’s education requirement and enrolled in IDP, and would only be allowed to take the Construction Documents & Services and Building Design & Construction Systems divisions upon the completion of IDP.

The body moved to amend the resolution to simply state, “To begin taking the ARE an applicant shall have fulfilled all requirements for eligibility established by his or her jurisdiction and shall have enrolled in IDP by establishing a Council record.” Then they further amended the amendment to state, “And finally Resolved, that it is the intent and policy of the Council that all jurisdictions accept NCARB certification as a basis for reciprocal registration without the application of any other state requirements applicable to initial licensure in such state.”

Resolution 07-9, the second resolution related to ARE timing, was withdrawn following the amendments to Resolution 07-8.

Currently in the majority of NCARB’s 54 jurisdictions, the NCARB Certificate is the main requirement for reciprocity. Until now, NCARB has had no position on the sequencing of the three requirements for licensure (education, experience, and examination), however it recommended candidates earn a NAAB-accredited degree, complete IDP, and then pass the ARE. Over forty jurisdictions have adopted this sequence and some will continue to require it in the future. Nine jurisdictions currently allow IDP and the ARE to be completed concurrently.

Although the NCARB Board of Directors strongly believes the sequencing of IDP and ARE should not affect reciprocity and the acceptance of the NCARB Certificate, some jurisdictions expressed concern that the sequencing could influence whether an architect would be granted reciprocity. On December 10, 2007, as previously charged by the North Carolina Board of Architecture, the Applications Committee met and discussed the issues surrounding “ARE sequencing”. The Committee recommends that the Board consider allowing a candidate for licensure by exam to take the ARE concurrent with IDP. After each member attending the meeting was given a chance to discuss their opinion on the matter, it was the consensus of the Board that candidates should be granted eligibility to take the ARE after completing the required degree and 250 units of IDP. A license will then be granted upon successful completion of all sections of the ARE and fulfillment of all IDP requirements. It was determined that the most appropriate way to institute this change was via a rule amendment. A motion by Kevin Montgomery, seconded by Cheryl Walker and approved 5-0, was made to have Executive Director Cathe Evans investigate the Rules Review process and timeline to begin rule changes that will allow for simultaneous taking of the ARE concurrent with IDP. David Crawford of the AIA-NC indicated that he was pleased with this shift in Board opinion and subsequently the information was reported to the AIA Board.

One thing most jurisdictions did agree on was the importance of IDP in the licensure process. All jurisdictions require an experience component and 51 require the IDP program, including those that already allow concurrent IDP and ARE completion. In order to earn the NCARB Certificate, candidates will continue to be required to complete IDP.
North Carolina Board of Architecture
License Statistics
As of March 17, 2008

Active Individual licenses
(expiration date 6/30/08) - 5535
Breakdown:
NC - 2198
Out-of-State – 3337

Delinquent
(expired on 6/30/07) - 213
Breakdown:
NC - 37
Out-of-State – 176

Delinquent individual licenses that expired on 6/30/07 will be administratively revoked on 6/30/08.

Active firm licenses
(expiration date 12/31/08)- 1351
Breakdown:
NC - 586
Out-of-State - 765

NCARB Monograph Series
Sustainable Architecture II
Now Available!

Green building is “the most successful environmental movement of our time,” according to James Hartzfeld, former chairman of the US Green Building Council. Architects have been at the forefront of this movement from its beginning. This NCARB monograph provides the tools for the next generation of sustainable architects to continue this leadership and design buildings that are cost effective and measurably green.

Features
- The important developments in the field of sustainable design since NCARB published Sustainable Design in 2001 are examined, including a review of the most recent research on the benefits of green building.
- “Green Building Rating Systems” are reviewed, with a strong focus on the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) rating system and its growing number of products and application guides.
- The costs and benefits of green buildings and integrated design are assessed using the life cycle costing method.
- The process of using a collaborative, systems-based “Integrated Design” is described, and the common barriers to this way of designing are discussed.
- The benefits of integrated design are illustrated by a detailed case study. An extensive bibliography and list of common abbreviations is also provided.

Contact NCARB at www.ncarb.org for details on purchasing this or other monographs.

The pass rates, by division, for all candidates who took the ARE are listed below. Data are the most recent available from Prometric, NCARB’s testing consultant. The first number represents the number of candidates who took the exam, the second represents the number who passed and the final number is the passing rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>North Carolina</th>
<th>Southern Region</th>
<th>All Jurisdictions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#Pass</td>
<td>%Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Design</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Structures</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Forces</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mech-Elec Systems</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Design/M&amp;M</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Documents</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Planning</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Planning</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Technology</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
21 NCAC 02 .0201 BOARD LISTING OF INDIVIDUAL AND FIRM NAMES

Every individual licensee, partnership, firm or corporation has the continuing responsibility of keeping the Board currently advised of his or its proper and current mailing address and the name or names under which he or it is practicing. Each licensee or firm shall immediately notify the Board in writing of any and all changes of association or address.

Notification of Change of Address
For Individual Licensee

Please PRINT All Information Clearly
Please send correspondence to my: HOME or FIRM (Please Circle One Only)

Last Name

First Name Middle Name

NC Individual License Number

Name of Firm

Address for Correspondence:

Street or P.O. Box

City State Zip Code

Daytime Phone Number

Fax Number

E-Mail Address

Signature

Date